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KEY FINDINGS

• Young fathers who are in a steady romantic relationship with the young mother, find that reciprocity and levels of commitment increased when the conception occurred and this played a vital part in subsequent co-operative parenting behaviours after the birth.

• For some separated young fathers who have amicable non-romantic relationships with the young mother and regular contact, they were able to develop the necessary strategies to implement joint parenting mechanisms in the situation. Co-parenting skills were refined over a number of years, and for

some, is work in progress.

• Young men want to be hands on and emotionally engaged as fathers, but they play more of a support and broadening role (or aspire to do so), as mothers predominantly the primary carers of their children.

• For a small number of separated young fathers they described hostile relationships with the young mother and experienced irregular or no contact with their children; the family law court was seen as a means of resolution here but the removal of legal aid was a problematic hurdle.

• Some young fathers who had a hostile relationship with the young mother wanted to parent jointly, but were unable to do so due to unwillingness from the mother’s part and a lack of professional and personal support.

• Policy makers and practitioners need to actively recognise and support a constructive and cooperative relationship between partnered and separated young couples to improve collective parenting which will benefit child outcomes and parenting capabilities in the short, medium and long term.

METHODS

Our research tracked 31 young fathers over a period of 2-4 years using qualitative longitudinal approaches. The relationship status of the fathers was split with 17 being in a relationship with the mother of the child at the time of the first interview whilst 14 were not. During the project, 5 further relationships had not worked out and 3 of 19 young men (almost two thirds) were no longer with the baby’s mother by the end of the study.

The young men’s intimate relationships ranged considerably in length, from a couple of weeks in one case to four years in duration for 2 young men and conceptions occurred mostly within the 1-4 year mark (11 couples). One father was not in a relationship with the young woman beforehand, instead describing this association as ‘friends with benefits’ (friends who have a sexual relationship with no emotional involvement). 11 couples were cohabiting, 2 of which were married.

Young parents’ relationship statuses were varied and could be described as being on a spectrum from relatively stable to highly volatile, with multiple instances of flux. Reasons for separating from the father’s perspectives were said to include disputes and tensions over childcare responsiblities, complications with the baby’s mother and family, and reduced connectivity and contact between the couple. This paper will discuss four emerging themes from our findings of shared parenting behaviours including: 1) Partnered and supportive, 2) Separated and co-operative; 3) Co-parenting in flux for partnered and separated young fathers, 3) Separated and challenging relationships.

FINDINGS

PARTNERED AND SUPPORTIVE RELATIONSHIPS

12 young fathers who were partnered with the baby’s mother throughout the study described their partnerships as highly important and supportive, countering the commonly held view of young fathers as being uncommitted to the mother (Jaffe et al 2001). The pregnancy, birth and entry into parenthood were all raised as important transitions for the young fathers in terms of their commitment levels, respect, mutuality and team working skills with their partner. The ability to identify and operate towards a common goal together (i.e. the child’s well-being and upbringing) helped to facilitate successful and cooperative childrearing behaviours and decision making processes between the couples.

“You build a really good respect for each other… Before you were just girlfriend and boyfriend, kind of separate, but now you’re combined, going for a common goal” (Zane, aged 20, wave 2).

Many participated in shared domestic duties and child care tasks as it was deemed important and fair in terms of reducing stress and workload for each parent. Examples included feeding the baby; changing nappies; bathing the infant; play times, general housework; and cooking meals, reflecting examples of active and engaged fatherhood behaviours (Miller 2010).

For some of the younger fathers in the study, such as Adam, the ability to parent co-operatively, supportive and harmoniously developed over time.

“I went to bed, fell asleep for about two, three hours, then [partner] got back, woke me up straight away. I was like, ‘oh you have just done him [change the nappy]’… We just argue constantly” (aged 16, wave 3).

“There are no arguments. It’s just getting on with each other… I think it’s because I’ve started pulling my socks up now and being a proper dad and trying to support my partner better” (aged 19, wave 5).

Although the young men wanted to be practically and emotionally engaged as fathers, they tended to play more of a supportive and broadening role (or aspired to do so), as mothers continued to be the primary carers in such households. Such similarities could also be seen within the accounts of separated young fathers with amicable relationships with the child’s mother and how shared care was played out in practice.

SEPARATED AND CO-OPERATIVE RELATIONSHIPS

Young fathers with cordial relationships with the baby’s mother understood the necessity to be amicable for the sake of the child and the father-child relationship (6 cases). By prioritising the child, this affected the ways in which the young man saw the baby’s mother and his behaviours towards her.

The young fathers described a number of strategies which helped them to work effectively with the young mother after their separation, this included: shared discussions and decisions about the child; communicating clearly; being open and honest; exhibiting good team working skills; recognising the value of the other parent; gaining the trust of the baby’s mother; and flexible arrangements (e.g. visiting the child outside of pre-arranged times).

The ability to form and maintain a civil and productive relationship with the baby’s mother created opportunities for the young men to spend time with their child away from the mother, leading to deep and intimate father-child relationships despite living in different households. Parenting together whilst no longer being romantically involved was not necessarily seen as problematic by these young men:

“I think separating is just a thing people use as an excuse. I’m saying it now because I’ve started pulling my socks up now and being a proper dad and trying to support my partner better” (aged 19, wave 5).
CO-PARENTING RELATIONSHIPS IN FLUX
Joint parenting for partnered fathers could still be demanding, particularly when lacking resources or support from others, and tensions may simmer between young couples despite their attempts to work together and support one another. In many cases these arguments were becoming easier to avoid or resolve as the young couples established better methods of dealing with difficult circumstances and strived to put their children’s needs first, as Jax (aged 19, wave 2) commented:

“We’ve grown up, we’ve stopped being pathetic and stopped arguing cause it’s not nice for our daughter.”

For a small number of the younger fathers in the sample, the intervention of professional support was particularly helpful when collaborative parenting did not necessarily develop organically:

“We got put on a parenting course and completed it now. Not only do we have to stop arguing just for our daughter but for me and (partner) as well” (Andrew, aged 19, wave 5).

For separated young fathers with amicable relationships with the baby’s mother, the ways in which young men successfully interacted with the mum was not always easy to initiate. Dominic, for example, described a turbulent relationship with the baby’s mother when they broke up after the birth. From 2013 onwards, relations with the mother gradually transformed which enabled a more proactive approach to collective parenting:

Dominic’s experience of shared parenting over time:

“She tried to make life as hard as possible for me with our son” (aged 18, wave 1).

“I think she sees me more as a form of support as opposed to being a competitor” (aged 21, wave 4).

“I think we’re working in (the) right direction. Things aren’t perfect or ideal but it’s working to a degree” (aged 22, wave 5).

Despite a number of instances where good support and cooperative parenting were described by the interviewees, the young fathers’ relationship with the mother could still be challenging and fragile. Nevertheless young fathers here, both partnered and separated, were able to work with the young mother to compromise and resolve issues for the child’s best interests, which allowed shared childcare in a satisfactory, and for some, a highly fulfilling manner.

SEPARATED AND CHALLENGING RELATIONSHIPS
Young fathers who described difficult relationships with the baby’s mother (13 cases) highlighted a number of discrepancies with regards to contact arrangements, welfare decisions and maintenance payments which led to unstable relations. Due to difficult interactions, young fathers found it difficult to parent jointly with the young mother and this could be magnified by geographical distance between the young parents’ households, creating an additional barrier to child contact arrangements.

For some young men, the volatile relationship led to maternal gatekeeping behaviours, leading to highly infrequent or non-existent child contact. Young fathers appeared highly aware of the mediating role that the young mother could play, leading them to “bite their tongue” (Jason, aged 22, wave 2). Young mothers were seen as the parent with the most power and according to the young fathers, used the children to manipulate them:

“...she uses our son as a weapon, playing games and stuff” (Karl, aged 18, wave 5).

Young fathers generally felt that they had a good relationship with their child despite not seeing them on a regular or consistent basis, however such viewpoints did not reduce the level of anguish felt by the lack of contact. Some young men felt that more stable arrangements would enable closer bonds to develop.

Jason experienced ongoing ‘heartache’ by the lack of contact with his children:

“She uses our son as a weapon when we don’t get on. It’s the only way she can hurt me” (aged 24, wave 4).

“I don’t even know where the kids are, she’s got so much power and she knows that. I’m going through double heartache [over two sons]” (aged 24, wave 5).

POLICY AND PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS
Unlike previous research which suggests a simple binary of young fathers as either gatekeepers or gate-openers, the young men’s accounts present a nuanced picture of their interactions with the baby’s mother and the implications of this in terms of ongoing co-parenting behaviours.

The ‘deal’ between young parents, like any contract, is open to change, can be overwritten, and either party can terminate the contract at any point. As such and given the fragile nature of young fathers’ relationships, the regular implementation of parenting support and harnessing respectfully mutuality for couples and non-partnered young parents would be particularly beneficial.

As parenting support for young fathers is change (Urry, Burgess and Hale 2012), policy makers and practitioners need to more actively recognise and promote a constructive and cooperative relationship between young parents, as part of their routine care to improve collective parenting capabilities and the social-emotional development of children (Lloyd 2010).

As many young partnerships end within the first few years after the child’s birth (Smeeding, Garfinkel and Mincy 2011), this may be a vital time for intervention. Young fathers may also need professional assistance when dealing with potentially demanding discussions regarding child access with their former partners, their children’s grandparents and the role of the state.

Parenting support for coupled and single young fathers could be incorporated into the CANparent initiative and related relationship schemes previously piloted by the former Coalition government as universal provision (2012-14). The implementation of such classes on a national scale is yet to be revealed by the new Government but it is based on the premise of early intervention, aiming to enhance parenting and relationship skills for all families to create stability (DoH 2014). An alternative approach would be to develop young people’s understanding of healthy parenting relationships within SRE and relationship education provision.

Despite having their child’s interests at heart. For others in this group, they felt that a formal court hearing was the only way to bring about necessary change and were less willing to engage with the young mother. The reality of going through the court system was severely affected by the removal of legal aid since 2013 however, highlighting the significance and need for young fathers to be able to develop positive relations with the young mother in such a context.
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